



## Board of Administration

# Agenda Item 8a1

---

**April 18, 2023**

**Item Name:** Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Appeal of Accepting the Application for Disability Retirement of JULIA E. GOMEZ, Respondent, and CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, Respondent.

**Program:** Disability and Survivor Benefits Division

**Item Type:** Action

### **Parties' Positions**

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision, as modified. Respondent Julia E. Gomez's (Respondent Gomez) position is included in Attachment C, if any.

### **Strategic Plan**

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

### **Procedural Summary**

Respondent Gomez submitted an application for Service Pending Disability Retirement. CalPERS determined that Respondent Gomez was ineligible for disability retirement because she had been dismissed from her employment with Respondent California Department of Social Services (Respondent CDSS). Pursuant to the legal precedents set forth in *Haywood v. American River Fire Protection District* and its progeny, CalPERS canceled her disability retirement application. Respondent Gomez appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on January 26, 2023. Due to Respondent CDSS' failure to appear, the case proceeded as a default under Government Code section 11520, as to Respondent CDSS only. A Proposed Decision was issued on February 15, 2023, affirming CalPERS' determination and denying the appeal.

### **Alternatives**

- A. For use if the Board decides to modify and adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, pursuant to Government Code section 11517 (c)(2)(C) which authorizes the Board

to “make technical or other minor changes in the proposed decision,” hereby modifies the Proposed Decision, by replacing “respondent CDSS” with “CalPERS” in paragraph 4, under the Factual Findings section, on page 3 of the Proposed Decision, and hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated February 15, 2023, as modified, concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated February 15, 2023, concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

C. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated February 15, 2023, concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board’s Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

D. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated February 15, 2023, concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

E. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):

1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board’s Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of Julia E. Gomez.

**Budget and Fiscal Impacts:** Not applicable

**Attachments**

Attachment A: Proposed Decision

Attachment B: Staff's Argument

Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

---

Kimberly A. Malm  
Interim Deputy Executive Officer  
Customer Services and Support